



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,844	08/21/2003	Chun-Liang Lee	LEEC3071/EM	6149
23364 BACON & TH	7590 06/19/2007 _. IOMAS, PLLC		EXAMINER	
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TRAN, PHILIP B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/644,844	LEE, CHUN-LIANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Philip B. Tran	2155				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -				
• •	/ 10 OFT TO EVENE . N	IONTHYON OR THIRTY (OO) RAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versioner to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	ugust 2003					
	action is non-final.					
,-						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 110.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abbondanzio et al (Hereafter, Abbondanzio), U.S. Pat. No. 6,968,414.

Regarding claim 1, Abbondanzio teaches a method of remotely monitoring one of a plurality of blade servers in a rack, each of the blade servers being coupled to a network switch which is in turn coupled to a computer system in a console (= management system 120) which, when detecting one of the blade servers is to be replaced and as commanded by a management employee, performs the steps of reading an input instruction of tripping a latch used to fasten each of the blade servers to the rack from the management employee and sending the input instruction to the blade server via the network switch (= monitoring insertion and removal of server blades in a data processing system by determining the status of the latch) [see Abstract and Col. 4, Line 46 to Col. 6, Line 36].

Abbondanzio does not explicitly teach causing the blade server to trip the latch from the rack according to the input instruction. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to realize that ejecting

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

mechanism of an object (such as remotely ejecting a disc) or turning on a LED indication can be implement in order to command for tripping the latch from the rack to quickly identify abnormal condition of a particular blade among a cluster of blade servers in the rack.

Regarding claims 2-3, Abbondanzio further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein each of the blade servers comprises an I2C (Inter-Integrated Circuit) bus including a GPIO (General Purpose Input and Output) for coupling to an external device, and a magnetic switch coupled to the I2C bus, the magnetic switch being adapted to control and trip the latch coupled to the blade server and further comprising a loop consisting of the computer system in the console, the network switch, and the GPIO of the I2C bus so that the computer system in the console can be coupled to the I2C bus by coupling a serial port of the network switch to the GPIO of the I2C bus for detecting and controlling the blade servers [see Figs. 1-3 and Col. 3, Line19 to Col. 4, Line 45].

Regarding claim 4, Abbondanzio does not explicitly teach wherein the input instruction is sent to the I2C bus and the magnetic switch via the network switch and the coupled serial port and the GPIO, and in response to reading the input instruction by the magnetic switch, the magnetic switch causes the latch coupled to the blade server to trip as commanded by the input instruction. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to realize that ejecting

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

mechanism of an object (such as remotely ejecting a disc) or turning on a LED indication can be implement in order to command for tripping the latch from the rack to quickly identify abnormal condition of a particular blade among a cluster of blade servers in the rack as set forth in claim 1.

Other References Cited

- 3. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - A) Leigh et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,003,563.
 - B) Huang et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,931,475.
 - C) Ramsey et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,915,362.
 - D) Bolian et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,931,458.
 - E) Nelson et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2005/0027890 A1.
 - F) Kern et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2005/0021654 A1.
 - G) Chang et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0153697 A1.
 - H) Rothman et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0109406 A1.
 - I) Bolian et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0064743 A1.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070608

4. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHILIP TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2155 June 08, 2007